

## UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/116,019	09/02/93	BEERY		J	JB2.3
Г			$\neg$	EXAMINER	
		LM11/1230		ELYNN_N	1
THOMAS A. I	BOSHINSKI	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
210 DAPPLE ALPHARETTA	GATE WAY GA 30202			2711	34
				DATE MAILED:	12/30/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/116,019

Applicant(s)

Beery

Examiner

Nathan J. Flynn

Group Art Unit 2711



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) 💢 expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, w is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate for date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purpose determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	ses of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or with period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	hin any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 24, 1997</u> has been considered with the following effort is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	fect,
☐ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify issues for appeal.	ring the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	ed in a
☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitte	n condition
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered.     </li> </ul>	evied
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered to the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly</li> </ul>	evied
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li> </ul>	evied raised by
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         <ul> <li>The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li> <li>✓ Claims allowed: 1-5, 7-19, 21-28, and 30-56</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	evied raised by
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li> </ul>	evied raised by
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	evied raised by
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         Claims allowed: 1-5, 7-19, 21-28, and 30-56         Claims objected to:</li></ul>	evied raised by
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in for allowance because:         <ul> <li>The original patent or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be reconsidered to the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. 1.178.</li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li></ul></li></ul>	evied raised by